

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X		
MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Case No. 7:18-cv-11793-KMK
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	
ALBANA GJONGECAJ,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----X		

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
ALTERNATE SERVICE ON DEFENDANT ALBANA GJONGECAJ**

Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests that this court should permit alternate service of the summons and Amended Complaint on Defendant Gjongecaj, because personal service is impracticable, and the proposed alternate service is reasonably calculated to give Defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard in this action, thus according with the basic due process requirements.

With irrelevant exceptions, such as minors or incompetents, "service upon an individual...may be effected in any judicial district of the United States:

(1) pursuant to the law of the state in which the judicial district is located, or in which service is effected, for the service of a summons upon the defendant in an action brought in the Courts of general jurisdiction of the State

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1).

The applicable state and state law is New York, and the New York Consolidated Laws define New York law regarding service of a summons and complaint. Under these rules, where personal service is impracticable, the court has discretion to permit alternate service:

Personal service upon a natural person shall be made by any of the following methods:... (5) in such manner as the court, upon motion without notice, directs, if service is impracticable under paragraphs one, two and four of this section.

New York Consolidated Laws CVP § 308(5).

The affidavits of process servers Brendan Collishaw, Robert Mills and Euclide DeCastro are attached as Exhibits “A”, “B” and “C” to the Motion for Alternate Service. These affidavits detail the attempts that these process servers made to serve Defendant Gjongecaj and demonstrate that Defendant Gjongecaj is avoiding service.

In this matter, personal service is impracticable, and alternate service is essential. In this situation, the applicable New York Consolidated Laws permit Plaintiff’s proposed alternate service. Plaintiff’s multiple attempts to serve Defendant Gjongecaj personally at her Last Known Address, Employment Address and Alternate Employment Address have been unsuccessful. However, Plaintiff has concluded that Defendant resides at the Last Known Address and is avoiding service.

Plaintiff requests that the Court allow service to be effected under New York Consolidated Laws CVP § 308(4):

[W]here service under paragraphs one and two cannot be made with due diligence, by affixing the summons to the door of either the ... dwelling place or usual place of abode within the state of the person to be served and by ... mailing the summons to such person at his or her last known residence ... in an envelope bearing the legend ‘personal and confidential’ and not indicating on the outside thereof, by return address or otherwise, that the communication is from an attorney or concerns an action against the person to be served, such affixing and mailing to be effected within twenty days of each other[.]

Accordingly, Plaintiff’s proposed alternate service is reasonably calculated to notify Defendant Gjongecaj of this action and give her an opportunity to be heard. Thus, an alternate service order is justified and necessary. Due to Plaintiff’s diligence in attempting to serve, Plaintiff

also requests twenty-one days from the date of an Order on this Motion to serve Defendant Gjongecaj by alternate service. A proposed order is filed contemporaneously herewith.

CONCLUSION

THEREFORE, PLAINTIFF respectfully requests this Court to:

- A. Grant this motion.
- B. Permit Plaintiff twenty-one days to serve Defendant Gjongecaj by alternate service including posting at her Last Known Address and sending a summons and copy of the Amended Complaint by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee at the Last Known Address.

Dated: August 21, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Kevin T. Conway, Esq. (30364)
80 Red Schoolhouse Road, Suite 110
Spring Valley, NY. 10977
T: 845-352-0206
F: 845-352-0481
E-mail: ktcmlibu@gmail.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 21, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF and that service was perfected on all counsel of record and interested parties through this system.

By: /s/ Kevin T. Conway
Kevin T. Conway, Esq.